

Another POV on “The Center for the Family in Transition” (and its funders) (Publ. 9/22/2012)

with 3 comments

“Sticky Post #14 | 15 Another POV on The Ctr for the Fam in Transition (Wallersteins) (SShot 2017-02-07 at 8.38.32PM).png”

Excerpt pulled to top of post in 2016. This sticky post (below which are more current ones) originally published 9/22/2012. It should be put in bold print, large letters and stuck on a refrigerator IF one is stuck in divorce drama at this time, as a reminder of the resonance of the rooms it will be taking place in!

The phrase “Families in Transition” is jargon, and it is virtually trademarked for use in the courts. The term comes from, it seems, ONE individual with key connections to psychoanalysis (Judith Wallerstein, who was married to Robert S. Wallerstein, also a devoted and highly placed psychoanalyst, at one time President of the International Psychoanalytic Association (“IPA”), essentially the inheritance of Sigmund Freud.

This association, IPA, was formed shortly AFTER Sigmund Freud’s *excommunication* (by colleagues) for ca. 1895 presenting “the Etiology of Hysteria” and saying it related to violent sexual and physical assaults on his patients by their caretakers (often fathers or uncles, etc.) from the early 1900s). In the 1950s, “The Origins of Psychoanalysis” incl. editor Sigmund’s daughter Anna) apparently included censorship of how Freud viewed his own “about-face” after being cold-shouldered by his colleagues. In the 1980s a man was given access to the Freud Archives and wrote about this, in the interest of speaking the truth, and was again cold-shouldered, and taken off the archives also.

For more, see **March 5, 2014 post** “Suppose I’m Right Here ...What Would You Do When the Lights go on?” Seeing the *Wallerstein-Wallerstein connection*, and the consistent practice of *re-framing reality* (truths about person-to-person violence, including parent to child, man to woman, and vice versa), and the *origins of the fields of psychoanalysis, psychiatry, psychology*, get it? the “*Psyche*” field in general having been introduced as the bedrock formula for the conception of “FAMILY” and the “FAMILY COURTS,” a logical deduction is that those courts are also essentially — not “tangentially” — crooked.

So, how can that “crooked” be cleaned up? Can it? Does the propagation of truth versus the

Let’s Get Honest! Blog

the people served), and reading returns, to

The “Tech Assistance Training”]

My other G (identical pl leads to a 19 of supervise this one to a narrative ar blogs on thi Re:why “We c situations inv violence (at th level) further Training the Awareness ab nonprofit and Let’s talk abor knew that the faucet (1994ff more than eq “Marriage/ F promotion fa and private co have collabor pie, while kee the dark, whic public prefers such matters.

However this criminal defen explanation th “Domestic Ba 243(e)1] is the Aggravated B: Wobbler” (mi felony). Yes, y stitches, brok concussion, a misdemeanor Also, Corpora Spouse, Coha

Blog at WordPress.c